

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISIONFILED-CLERK
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

04 JUL - 1 PM 1:23

TX EASTERN-MARSHALL

1. Compression Labs, Incorporated

§

Plaintiff,§
BY _____

V.

§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:04-CV-158

1. Agfa Corporation; § JUDGE FOLSOM
2. Apple Computer, Incorporated; §
3. Axis Communications, Incorporated; § JURY
4. Cannon, USA, Incorporated; §
5. Concord Camera Corporation; §
6. Creative Labs, Incorporated; §
7. Eastman Kodak Company; §
8. Fuji Photo Film U.S.A.; §
9. Fujitsu Computer Products of America; §
10. Gateway, Incorporated; §
11. Hewlett-Packard Company; §
12. JASC Software; §
13. JVC Americas Corporation; §
14. Kyocera Wireless Corporation; §
15. Macromedia, Incorporated; §
16. Matsushita Electric Corporation of America; §
17. Mitsubishi Digital Electronics America, Incorporated §
18. Oce North America, Incorporated; §
19. Onkya U.S.A. Corporation; §
20. PalmOne Incorporated; §
21. Panasonic Communications Corporation of America; §
22. Panasonic Mobile Communications Development Corporation of USA; §
23. Ricoh Corporation; §
24. Riverdeep, Incorporated (d/b/a Broderbund); §
25. Savin Corporation §
26. Thomson, Incorporated §
27. Xerox Corporation §

*Defendants.**Motion to Extend Time*

**THOMSON, INC.'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO
ANSWER, MOVE, OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE
FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF
COMPRESSION LABS, INCORPORATED**

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

I.

Defendant, Thomson, Inc., without waiving any defenses described or referred to in Rule 12 F.R.C.P, but relying upon and asserting all those defenses, moves, the Court to enlarge the time within which Thomson, Inc. is required to move, answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint to and including July 6, 2004.

II.

Defendant Thomson, Inc. seeks this extension of time not for delay but for good cause and that justice may be served. Plaintiff is unopposed to this motion.

III.

WHEREFORE, Thomson, Inc. respectfully prays that the time to answer, move or otherwise respond to Compression Labs, Incorporated's First Amended Complaint be enlarged to and including July 6, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Lee Haag

Lee Haag

Attorney-in-Charge

State Bar No. 08657700

Federal I.D. No. 10857

Lhaag@fulbright.com

Andrew Price

State Bar No. 24002791

Federal I.D. No. 22348

Aprice@fulbright.com

1301 McKinney, Suite 5100

Houston, Texas 77010-3095

Telephone: (713) 651-5151

Facsimile: (713) 651-5246

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument was served to all known counsel of record via certified mail, return receipt requested, on this the 29th day of June, 2004.

Stephen G. Rudisill
Jenkens & Gilchrist
225 W. Washington Street, Suite 2600
Chicago, IL 60660-2418
Attorney for Plaintiff

Carl R. Roth
Law Offices of Car R. Roth
115 North Wellington, Suite 200
P O box 876
Marshall, Texas 75670
Attorney for Plaintiff

Clyde M. Siebman
Siebman, Reynolds, & Burg, LLP
421 North Crockett
Sherman, Texas 75090
Attorney for Oce North America, Inc.

Harry L. Gillam, Jr.
GILLAM & SMITH, L.L.P.
Melissa Smith
110 South Bolivar Street, Suite 204
Marshall, Texas 75670

AND

Charlene Morrow
Fenwick & West, LLP
801 California Street
Mountainview, CA 94041
Attorneys For Macromedia, Inc.

Calvin Capshaw
Brown McCarroll, LLP
1127 Judson Road, Suite 220
Longview, Texas 75601-5157
Attorney for Plaintiff

Tracy Crawford
Eric H. Findlay
Ramey & Flock, P.C.
100 East Ferguson, Suite 500
Tyler, Texas 75702
Attorneys for Apple Computer, Inc.

Nicholas H. Patton
Robert W. Schroeder, III
Patton, Tidwell & Schroeder, LLP
4605 Texas Blvd.
Texarkana, Texas 75505-5398
Attorneys for Eastman Kodak Company

Jennifer P. Ainsworth
Wilson, Sheehy, Knowles, Robertson &
Cornelius, PC
315 East Fifth Street
Tyler, Texas 75701
Attorney for Kyocera Wireless Corporation


Lee Haag

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

The undersigned counsel for Thomson, Inc. has discussed the above Motion with Elizabeth L. DeRieux, counsel for Compression Labs, Incorporated, who indicated they are unopposed to this Motion.

Lee Haag ~~ATP~~

Lee Haag

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

1. Compression Labs, Incorporated

Plaintiff,

V.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:04-CV-158

1. Agfa Corporation; § JUDGE FOLSOM
2. Apple Computer, Incorporated; §
3. Axis Communications, Incorporated; § JURY
4. Cannon, USA, Incorporated; §
5. Concord Camera Corporation; §
6. Creative Labs, Incorporated; §
7. Eastman Kodak Company; §
8. Fuji Photo Film U.S.A.; §
9. Fujitsu Computer Products of America; §
10. Gateway, Incorporated; §
11. Hewlett-Packard Company; §
12. JASC Software; §
13. JVC Americas Corporation; §
14. Kyocera Wireless Corporation; §
15. Macromedia, Incorporated; §
16. Matsushita Electric Corporation of
 America; §
17. Mitsubishi Digital Electronics
 America, Incorporated §
18. Oce North America, Incorporated; §
19. Onkya U.S.A. Corporation; §
20. PalmOne Incorporated; §
21. Panasonic Communications
 Corporation of America; §
22. Panasonic Mobile Communications
 Development Corporation of USA; §
23. Ricoh Corporation; §
24. Riverdeep, Incorporated (d/b/a)
 Broderbund); §
25. Savin Corporation §
26. Thomson, Incorporated §
27. Xerox Corporation §

Defendants.

Order

**ORDER GRANTING THOMSON, INC.'S UNOPPOSED MOTION
TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER, MOVE, OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE
FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF
COMPRESSION LABS, INCORPORATED**

On this date came for consideration, Thomson, Inc.'s Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Answer, Move or Otherwise Respond to the First Amended Complaint of Compression Labs, Incorporated and the Court being of the opinion that same should be GRANTED, it is therefore,

ORDERED that Defendant be, and hereby is granted an extension of time within which to respond to Plaintiff Compression Labs, Incorporated's First Amended Complaint up to and including July 6, 2004 in the above cause.

Signed this _____ of _____, 2004.

JUDGE PRESIDING